#### **CITY OF LAKE FOREST, CALIFORNIA**

#### SINGLE AUDIT OF FEDERALLY ASSISTED GRANT PROGRAMS

JUNE 30, 2013

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

# June 30, 2013

	Page <u>Number</u>
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1 - 2
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance Required by OMB Circular A-133 and on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	3 - 5
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	6
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	7 - 8
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	9 - 10
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	11

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

Honorable Mayor and City Council City of Lake Forest Lake Forest, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Lake Forest (the City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated December 20, 2013.

### **Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

White Nelson Dieke Engris UP

Irvine, California December 20, 2013

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY OMB CIRCULAR A-133 AND ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

Honorable Mayor and City Council City of Lake Forest Lake Forest, California

#### **Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program**

We have audited the City of Lake Forest's (the City) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2013. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

#### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to its major federal programs.

#### Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States*, *Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance.

#### **Opinion on Each Major Federal Program**

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2013.

#### **Report on Internal Control over Compliance**

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance with a type of compliance control over compliance with a type of compliance with a type of compliance with a type of compliance is a deficiency in *internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

#### **Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards**

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated December 20, 2013, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

#### **Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (Continued)**

Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

White Nelson Dieke Cuans UP

Irvine, California December 20, 2013

## SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS AND SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

#### SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

#### For the year ended June 30, 2013

Federal Grantor / Pass - Through Grantor / Program / Cluster Title	Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number	Program Identification Number	Federal Expenditures	Subrecipient Expenditures	
United States Department of Housing and Urban Development					
Direct Assistance:					
Community Development Block Grants/ Entitlement Grants	14.218	B-10-MC-06-0584 B-11-MC-06-0584 B-12-MC-06-0584	\$ 296,327 27,210 345,074	\$ <u>-</u> 60,475	
Total United States Department of Housing and Urban Development			668,611	60,475	
<u>United States Department of Justice</u> Passed through the County of Orange: ARRA - Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program	16.804	2009-SB-B9-0271	5,862	-	
Total United States Department of Justice			5,862		
<u>United States Department of Transportation</u> Passed through the State of California: Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	RPSTPLE-5469(014) RPSTPLE-5469(015)	202,329 249,392	-	
Total United Stated Department of Transportation			451,721		
<u>United States Department of Energy</u> Direct Assistance: ARRA - Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant (EECBG)	81.128	SC0002965	24,932		
TOTAL EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS			\$ 1,151,126	\$ 60,475	

See Accompanying Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance Required by OMB Circular A-133 and on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (pages 3-5) and Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (pages 7 and 8).

#### NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

#### For the year ended June 30, 2013

#### 1. GENERAL:

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards presents the activity of all federal financial assistance programs of the City of Lake Forest (the City). The City's reporting entity is defined in note 1 of the notes to the City's financial statements. All financial assistance received directly from federal agencies as well as federal financial assistance passed through other government agencies to the City is included in the accompanying schedule.

#### 2. BASIS OF ACCOUNTING:

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented using the modified accrual basis of accounting for governmental funds, which is described in the Note 1 of the notes to the City's financial statements.

3. RELATIONSHIP TO COMPREHENSIVE FINANCIAL REPORT:

Amounts reported in the accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards agree to amounts reported within the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

4. RELATIONSHIP TO FEDERAL FINANCIAL REPORTS:

Amounts reported in the accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards agree with amounts reported in federal financial reports.

#### 5. CONTINGENCIES:

Under the terms of federal and state grants, additional audits may be requested by the grantor agencies and certain costs may be questioned as not being appropriate expenditures under the terms of the grants. Such audits could lead to a request for reimbursement to the grantor agencies.

See Accompanying Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance Required by OMB Circular A-133 and on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (pages 3-5) and Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (page 6).

# NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS (CONTINUED)

#### For the year ended June 30, 2013

#### 6. FEDERALLY FUNDED LOANS:

The City administers loans, primarily deferred loans, made from funds provided by the following federal programs:

	Loans E	xpended			
	During the Year		Loans Outstanding		
Federal Programs	Ended Jun	e 30, 2013	as o	as of June 30, 2013	
Community Development					
Block Grants/Entitlement					
Grants	\$	156,100	\$	1,239,971	

#### 7. SUBRECIPIENTS:

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013, the City disbursed \$60,475 to subrecipients, utilizing funds provided by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Community Development Block Grant program (CFDA #14.218).

See Accompanying Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance Required by OMB Circular A-133 and on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (pages 3-5) and Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (page 6).

#### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

For the year ended June 30, 2013

#### 1. SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS:

#### Financial Statements

Type of auditor's report issued: • Unmodified Internal control over financial reporting: • Material weakness identified? yes x no x none reported Significant deficiency identified? yes Noncompliance material to financial statements noted: yes x no Federal Awards: Internal control over major programs: • Material weakness identified? yes <u>x</u> no • Significant deficiency identified? x none reported yes Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: • Unmodified Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of OMB Circular A-133? yes x no Identification of major programs: Name of Federal Program or Cluster CFDA Number 14.218 United States Department of Housing and Urban Development, Direct Assistance, Community Development Block Grants / Entitlement Grants Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs: 300,000 \$ Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? <u>x</u> yes no

See Accompanying Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance Required by OMB Circular A-133 and on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (pages 1-5).

#### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED)

#### For the year ended June 30, 2013

#### 2. FINDINGS - FINANCIAL STATEMENT AUDIT:

There were no significant deficiencies, material weaknesses or noncompliance material to the financial statements which were disclosed by the audit of the financial statements of the City of Lake Forest for the year ended June 30, 2013.

#### 3. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAM AUDIT:

None

See Accompanying Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance Required by OMB Circular A-133 and on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (pages 1-5).

# SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS

For the year ended June 30, 2013

There were no significant findings reported for fiscal year 2011-2012.

See Accompanying Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance Required by OMB Circular A-133 and on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (pages 1-5).